

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/789,558

Applicant(s)

DONOHUE ET AL.

Examiner

Diane Yabut

Art Unit

3734

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Diane Yabut.

(3) Brian Schar.

(2) Michael Hayes.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 November 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: demonstration pass-port proximal anastomosis tool → cutter and expander/crown-graft vessel attachment device

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, 9

Identification of prior art discussed: Nobis, Vargas

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Michael J. Hayes
Michael J. Hayes

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

[Signature]
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant will consider amendments to claims regarding movement of cutting device and graft vessel attachment device and removability / insertion of cartridge / graft vessel attachment device - language regarding direction of movement.